

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/021,956 02/11/98 KATZ R 232/117 **EXAMINER** LM02/0628 | 022249 LYON & LYON LLP WOO.S SUITE 4700 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 633 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066 2743 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/28/00

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/021,956

Applicant(s)

Katz

Examiner

Stella Woo

Group Art Unit 2743



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May 2, 2000</u>	_
X) This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claim	l
	cat
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consider	ation
Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
X Claim(s) <u>24-148</u> is/are rejected.	Ì
Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirer	nent.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐disapproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 30 0.0.0. § 110(0).	İ
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956

Art Unit: 2743

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The request filed on May 2, 2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/021,956 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 77-78, 81-82, 127-128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Entenmann et al. (Entenmann) in view of the reference entitled "The AT&T Multi-Mode Voice Systems Full Spectrum Solutions for Speech Processing Applications" by Hester et al. (Hester) for the same reasons given in the last Office action and repeated below.

Entenmann discloses a telephonic-interface control system for a game of chance comprising:

```
interface means (col. 2, lines 54-56);
voice generator means (announcement system 17);
processing means (control processor 8);
qualification means (col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 4);
means for storing (database 19).
```

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956

Art Unit: 2743

Although Entenmann provides for a plurality of lotteries (plurality of formats) being controlled by the same system (col. 2, lines 47-48), it differs from claims 77-78, 81-82 in that it does not specify the use of DNIS for selecting from the plurality of formats. However, Hester teaches the well known use of DNIS for access to a plurality of formats (page 3, second paragraph) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of DNIS, as taught by Hester, within the lottery system of Entenmann in order to automatically identify the selected lottery format from a plurality of lottery formats using DNIS.

4. Claims 24-76, 79-80, 83-88, 129-148 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Entenmann in view of Hester, as applied to claims 77-78, 81-82, 127-128 above, and further in view of Barr and Muller et al. (Muller) for the same reasons given in the last Office action and repeated below.

The combination of Entenmann and Hester differs from claims 24-76, 79-80, 83-88, 129-148 in that it does not specify a distinct indicia, or bar code number, co-related to at least a portion of the identification number provided on the ticket. However, Barr teaches the well known use of lottery ticket provided with a lottery number to be entered by dialing in to a provided telephone number and Muller teaches the conventional use of a bar code number co-related to the lottery identification number for the purpose of providing a high level of security when verifying winning tickets (Abstract) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of a lottery ticket, as taught by Barr, and the use of a bar code, as taught by Muller, within the combination of Entenmann and Hester.

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956 Page 4

Art Unit: 2743

5. Claims 89-126 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Entenmann, Hester, Barr and Muller, as applied to claims 24-76, 79-80, 83-88, 129-148 above, and further in view of Run, Jr. et al. (Run) for the same reasons given in the last Office action and repeated below.

The combination differs from claims 89-126 in that it does not specify the use of visual indicia illustrative of a specific theme along with a name or numerical value associated with said specific theme. However, as shown by Run (Figs. 1, 2), it is well known in the lottery art to provide for visual indicia illustrating a specific theme along with identification of the particular lottery (which can be either name or numerical value). Since the combination clearly provides for a plurality of different lottery formats (Entenmann provides for a plurality of lotteries which can have different payoff amounts; col. 2, lines 42-48), it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to identify the different lottery formats via different visual indicia shown on the lottery card along with either the particular lottery name and/or payoff amount.

6. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/021,956. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956

Art Unit: 2743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296;

(for formal communications, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"; and for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395 and can normally be

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956 Page 6

Art Unit: 2743

reached from 6:30 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. on Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and from 6:30 a.m. until 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday and Thursday.

June 27, 2000

STELLA WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER